ORIGINAL

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

	FEB - 7 2000
In the Matter of: Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act) OFFICE CO AND SCIENCES OF
) CC Docket No. 97-213

Comments of MCI WorldCom, Inc.

MCI WorldCom, Inc. ("MCI WorldCom") files these comments in opposition to the Petitions for Reconsideration filed in the above referenced docket by the Federal Bureau of Investigation and Department of Justice ("FBI/DOJ") October 25, 1999 and November 12, 1999. ¹

In its October 25th petition regarding the SSI Order, the FBI/DOJ asks the Federal Communications Commission ("Commission") to reconsider its decision not to mandate that carriers generate an automated message enabling law enforcement agencies ("LEA") to verify that unauthorized electronic surveillance is not occurring. Additionally, the FBI/DOJ asks the Commission to require carriers to notify LEA as soon after discovery as is reasonable in light of privacy and safety concerns and the needs of law enforcement.

The Commission has already considered the FBI/DOJ's desire to have an automatic tone provide assurance that illegal surveillance is not being conducted and

¹ Petition for Reconsideration of Section 105 Report and Order (Systems Security and Integrity Order or "SSI" Order), regarding In the Matter of Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement, Report and Order, CC Docket No. 97-213 released March. 15, 1999 and Petition for Reconsideration and/or Clarification regarding In the Matter of Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement, Second Report and Order, CC Docket 97-213, released August 31, 1999, FCC 99-229.

ruled in its Third Report and Order² that the request was not part of the mandate placed on telecommunications carriers by Section 103. Now the FBI/DOJ is trying a different tactic, saying such a capability is part of Section 105 responsibilities. Regardless of the section the FBI/DOJ attempts to slide the function under, the Commission is right in saying such surveillance monitoring is not mandated by CALEA³ and must stay the course. Not only is such a capability not envisioned by CALEA, the FBI/DOJ seeks to impose an impossible construct on carriers: to affirm that no authorized activity is taking place. The FBI/DOJ asks an impossible burden. The FBI/DOJ also seeks to overreach its authority to seek such entrée into carriers' facilities. Such an imposition is not contemplated legislatively in CALEA.

The FBI/DOJ also desires carriers to notify LEA of security breaches "as soon after discovery as is reasonable in light of privacy and safety concerns and the needs of law enforcement." The FBI/DOJ takes exception to the Commission's language that breaches be reported "within a reasonable time upon discovery." The FBI/DOJ is concerned that carriers will report breaches in light of their business necessity or convenience, rather than expeditiously act to minimize the privacy and security threats that result from compromises to the security and integrity of electronic surveillance.

Carriers are well aware of the risks and dangers to the public of breached security. Security breaches can endanger the public, other personnel and the network. Carriers have nothing to gain in dragging their feet to report security breaches. Carriers know they have an affirmative obligation to report breaches but they must be allowed to be responsible to first confirm breaches did occur. Confirmation is a key concept here.

² In the Matter of Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act, Third Report and Order, Released August 31, 1999, FCC 99-230, para. 101, 106, 111.

Carriers must have adequate time to act responsibly and investigate what did or did not take place, to confirm what did occur, whether security breaches or technical glitches of one kind or another.

Additionally, in its November 12th petition on the Second Report and Order, the FBI/DOJ asks the Commission to clarify its statements that "...resellers' responsibility under CALEA should be limited to their own facilities. ...[R]esellers will therefore not be held responsible for the CALEA compliance responsibilities of the carrier whose services they are reselling with respect to the latter's underlying facilities." ⁴

The FBI/DOJ objects to resellers not being held responsible for CALEA compliance for facilities they don't control, which are under the control of the underlying carrier or provider who may claim not to be subject to CALEA obligations. In effect, the FBI/DOJ is asking the Commission to amend its Order to require resellers be responsible for CALEA compliance of entities not subject to CALEA. "...If the underlying carrier is regarded not to be a 'telecommunications carrier," then the reseller should remain responsible in full for satisfying CALEA's assistance capability obligations with respect to its services (underlying in original)." ⁵ The FBI/DOJ's request is overreaching and wrong. The Commission is right and must again stay the course.

First, the statute defines who and what comes under CALEA:

"The term 'telecommunications carrier' (a) means a person or entity engaged in the transmission or switching of wire or electronic communications as a common carrier for hire; and (b) includes (i) a person or entity engaged in providing commercial mobile service (as defined in section 332(d) of the Communications Act of 1934...or (ii) a person or entity engaged in providing wire or electronic communication switching or transmission service to the extent that the Commission finds that such service is a replacement for a substantial portion of the local telephone exchange service and that it is in the public interest to deem such a person or entity to be a telecommunications carrier for purposes of this title...but ...(C) does not include...(ii) any class

⁵ FBI/DOJ November 12th Petition, p. 3.

³Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act, passed October 25, 1994, Pub. Law No. 103-414.

⁴ Second Report and Order, CALEA, Released August 31, 1999, par. 24.

or category of telecommunications carriers that the Commission exempts by rule after consultation with the Attorney General." 6

The FBI/DOJ is trying to require CALEA compliance for any and all classes of telecom providers through one means or another, and that simply does not comport with CALEA's language. Second, as a practical matter, resellers have no control whatsoever over the platform or network which supplies underlying service. Resellers simply cannot unilaterally either make such facilities compliant or require underlying providers to do so.

In conclusion, MCI WorldCom urges the Commission to reject the FBI/DOJ's petitions as unreasonable and in conflict to the language and intent of the statute and to reaffirm the clear thinking and correct analysis in its Report and Order and Second Report and Order.

Respectfully Submitted,

Anne F. La Lena 202-887-3847

MCI WorldCom, Inc. 1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 February 7, 2000

⁶ CALEA Public Law 103-414, Sec. 102, Definitions.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Billie Rickert, do hereby certify that on this 7th day of February 2000, copies of the foregoing Comments of MCI WorldCom, Inc. were served on each of the following persons:

Honorable William E. Kennard**
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals
445 12th Street, S.W.
8th Floor
Washington, DC 20554

Harold Furchgott-Roth**
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals
445 12th Street, S.W.
8th Floor
Washington, DC 20554

Susan Ness**
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals
445 12th Street, S.W.
8th Floor
Washington, DC 20554

Michael Powell**
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals
445 12th Street, S.W.
8th Floor
Washington, DC 20554

Gloria Tristani**
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals
445 12th Street, S.W.
8th Floor
Washington, DC 20554

Christopher Wright Federal Communications Commission The Portals 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554

Lawrence E. Strickling**
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Charles Keller**
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20554

John Spencer**
Wirelesss Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Thomas J. Sugrue**
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals
445 12th Street, S.W., 3-C 252
Washington, DC 20554

Kris Monteith**
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals
445 12th Street, S.W., C-3, 124
Washington, DC 20554

Julius Knapp, Chief**
Policy & Rules Division
Office of Engineering & Technology
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Geraldine Matise, Deputy Chief**
Policy & Rules Division
Office of Engineering & Technology
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Jacquelyn Ruff**
The Portals
International Bureau, Suite 6 West
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth St., S.W.
Washington, DC 20554
International Transcription Service**
2100 M Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036

Louis J. Freeh Larry R. Parkinson Federal Bureau of Investigation 935 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20535

Honorable Janet Reno Attorney General of the United States U.S. Department of Justice 601 D Street, N.W., Room 9106 Washington, DC 20530

Donald Remy
Douglas N. Letter
U.S. Department of Justice
601 D Street, N.W., Room 9106
Washington, DC 20530

Alan M. Lurya 4199 Campus Drive Suite 700 Irvine, CA 92612

David L. Sobel Marc Rotenbery Electronic Privacy Information Center 666 Pennsylvania Ave., S.E. Suite 301 Washington, DC 20003

Barry Steinhardt Shari Steele Electronic Frontier Foundation 1550 Bryant Street Suite 725 San Francisco, CA 94103

Steven Shapiro Cassidy Sehgal-Kolbet American Civil Liberties Union 125 Broad Street New York, New York 10004

Kurt A. Wimmer Alane C. Weixel Mark E. Porada Covington & Burling 1201 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. P.O. Box 7566 Washington, DC 20044-7566

Mark J. Emery Technical Consultant 3032 Jeannie Anna Court Oak Hill, BA 20171

Jerry Berman
James X. Dempsey
Center for Democracy and Technology
1634 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20006

Martin L. Stern Michael J. O'Neil Preston Gates Ellis & Rouvelas Meeds LLP 1735 New York Avenue, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, DC 20006

Eric W. DeSilva Stephen J. Rosen Daniel J. Smith Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006-2304

Mary McDermott
Todd B. Lantor
Personal Communications Industry Asso.
500 Montgomery Street
Suite 700
Alexandria, VA 22314

Michael Altschul Randall S. Coleman Cellular Telecommunications Industry Asso. 1250 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Suite 200 Washington, DC 20036

Robert M. Lynch Roger K. Toppins Hope E. Thurrott SBC Communications Inc. One Bell Plaza, Room 3023 Dallas, TX 75202

Carole C. Harris Christine M. Gill Anne L. Fruehauf McDermot, Will & Emery 600 Thirteenth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 Francis D. R. Coleman ICO Global Communications 1101 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Suite 550 Washington, DC 20036

Cheryl A. Tritt James A. Casey Morrison & Foerster LLP 2000 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Suite 5500 Washington, DC 20006

Henry M. Rivera Larry S. Solomon Shook, Hardy & Bacon L.L.P. 1850 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006

Joel M. Margolis Nextel Communications, Inc. 1505 Farm Credit Drive Suite 100 McLean, VA 22102

Albert Gidari Perkins Coie LLP 1201 Third Ave., 40th Floor Seattle, WA 98101

M. Robert Sutherland Theodore R. Kingsley BellSouth Corporation Suite 1700 1155 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30309-3610

Michael P. Goggin BellSouth Cellular Corp. 910 -1100 Peachtree St., N.E. Atlanta, GA 30309-4599 Michael W. White BellSouth Wireless Data, L.P. 10 Woodbridge Center Drive 4th Floor Woodbridge, NJ 07095-1106

J. Lloyd Nault, II
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
4300 BellSouth Center
675 West Peachtree Street, N.E.
Atlanta, GA 30375

Thomas M. Meiss BellSouth Personal Communications, Inc. Suite 400 3353 Peachtree Road, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30326

Barbara J. Kerr Ameritech Corporation 4H74 2000 Ameritech Center Dr. Hoffman Estates, IL 60196

Lawrence R. Krevor Nextel Communications, Inc. 1450 G Street, N.W. Suite 425 Washington, DC 20005

Thomas E. Wheeler Dee Yankoskie Cellular Telecommunications Industry Asso. 1250 Conecticut Ave., N.W. Suite 200 Washinton, DC 20036

Pamela J. Riley
David G. Gross
AirTouch Communications, Inc.
1818 N Street, N.W., Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036

John F. Raposa GTE Service Corporation 600 Hidden Ridge, HQE03J27 Irving, TX 75038

John M. Goodman Bell Atlantic 1300 I Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005

Colette M. Capretz
Fisher Wayland Cooper
Leader & Zaragoza L.L.P.
2001 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, DC 20006

Lon C. Levin American Mobile Satellite Corp. 10802 Park Ridge Blvd Reston, VA 20191

Gail L. Polivy GTE Service Corporation 1850 M Street, N.W. Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036

Lawrence E. Sarjeant Linda L. Kent Keith Townsend John W. Hunter 1401 H Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, DC 20005

Mark C. Rosenblum Stephen C. Garavito Seth S. Gross AT&T Corp. Room 3252F3 295 North Maple Avenue Basking Ridge, NJ 07920 Douglas I. Brandon Roseanna DeMaria AT&T Wireless Services, Inc. Fourth Floor 1150 Connecticut Avenue Washington, DC 20036

Sylvia Lesse Marci Greenstein Kraskin, Lesse & Cosson, LLP 2120 L Street, N.W. Suite 520 Washington, DC 20037

William T. Lake John H. Harwood II Lynn R. Charytan Todd Zubler Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering 2445 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-1420

Kathryn Marie Krause Edward M. Chavez U S West, Inc. 1020 19th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

Peter M. Connolly Koteen & Naftalin, L.L.P. 1150 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20036

Stewart A. Baker Thomas M. Barba L. Benjamin Ederington Steptoe & Johnson LLP 1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 Grant Seiffert
Matthew J. Flanigan
Telecommunications Industry Association
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 350
Washington, DC 20004

**Hand Delivery